

ExChange Webinar 09/09/2020

Secure Children's Homes (Secure Accommodation)

Dr. Annie Williams

Overview

- Secure Accommodation background
- The Welsh project
- Conclusions and recommendations
- Further information
- Questions

Background: What are Secure Children's Homes & who uses them?

- Residential homes with approval to restrict the liberty of young people
- Young people from England & Wales enter SCH via the justice system or on welfare grounds (Unlike some Scandinavian countries and Scotland where all cases seen as a child protection issue).
- Children and young people referred to SCHs on welfare grounds: aged 10-17 years of age; believed to be a serious risk to themselves; a risk to others, or has absconded previously and is likely to abscond from other accommodation (SSWB (Wales) Act 2014, Children Act 1989)
- Common risk factors: Absconding; Substance Misuse, Child sexual exploitation; Aggression; Gang involvement; Offending; Self harm; Suicide

Background Knowledge

- Very little research in England & Wales on use of secure care for welfare reasons
- Existing knowledge tend to look at aspects of secure care (e.g. restraint (Hart, 2008); Health care (Mooney et al, 2007,) solitary confinement', (Children's Commissioner)
- No reliable evidence about profile, experiences or outcomes of English or Welsh children placed in SCH

The young people who use SA for welfare reasons

- Chaotic backgrounds: high levels of abuse, neglect, bereavement (e.g Hart and La Valle 2016; Gibson, 2020)
- Long known to services but late sustained intervention
- Can enter via welfare or justice system.
- Some opposition to mixing youth justice and welfare provision, despite recognition of similarities between two groups. LAs would be more confident about using a SCH if it solely provides welfare placements. (Held, 2006).

Map 3: SCHs that can take young people from England⁸

From Webster, 2018

SA locations



| | SCH welfare | SCH mixed |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Av. stay length | 4.9 | 4.5 |
| Rooms locked night | yes | yes |
| Average staff/YP | 0.65, 0.36 | 0.53, 0.51 |
| Total number beds | 80 | 94 |
| Gendered beds | 0 M; 22 F; 58 mixed | 12 M; 17 F; 65 mixed |
| Age range | 10 - 17 | 10 – 17 |

Pattern of SCH use for welfare reasons over time

- Number English & Welsh young people in SCHs decreased over last 10 years
- **But** welfare secure placements across England and Wales risen from 37 per cent in 2010 to 47 per cent in 2018 and 56% in 2019 (DfE, 2019)
- Welsh SCH recent welfare referrals showed slight increase. 22 referrals March 2017 : 34 March 2018.
- Accounts suggest SCHs ref in Wales has decreased since 2018 but DoLs risen.

The Project

The experiences and outcomes of young people from Wales receiving secure accommodation orders.

Hannah Bayfield, Martin Elliott, Rhiannon Evans, Sara Long, Annie Williams, Honor Young

The Brief

Aim: To understand the lived experiences of young people from Wales prior to, during and following SCH/AA.

- The life histories of young people before SCHs orders
- Young people's experiences before , during and after time in a SCH
- How well young people were supported & influenced by therapeutic models
- When/How decisions and plans were made for a young person's future following SCH
- The outcomes, wellbeing and stability of young people's placement after SCH
- What happened when SCH were made, but YP received alternative accommodation

Methods

- Between 1 April 2016 and 31 March 2018, 21 of the 22 Welsh local authorities successfully applied for SCH Orders. All were contacted and 19 took part.
- Data sources: routinely collected local authority data & case files, interviews with 11 young people, 30 social workers & range of further key stakeholders
- LA staff contacted young people and key stakeholders to arrange project interviews.

Quantitative Findings

- 21 Welsh local authorities applied for secure accommodation orders
- 56 secure accommodation orders for 43 young people were granted.
- Over 50% of orders saw young people placed in SA outside Wales.
- Less than 25% of the young people were placed in welfare only units.
- Girls & boys equally likely to enter secure accommodation on welfare grounds

• Little use of alternative accommodation .

Please watch this short video (less than four minutes) before moving on to the next slide:



Or paste this link into your browser:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6RtaK87fIKc>

Area of concern 1: Placement Availability- how can we help?

Problem: Lack of placements capable of recognising and addressing young people's needs before, during and after secure accommodation

- **Response:**
Development and provision of national commissioning strategy that ensures LAs are able to meet these young people needs at any point of residential or secure care.
- **Response:**
Necessary regional development to allow national commissioning strategy facilitation.

Problem: Foster and residential care placements require a model of therapy likely to meet the needs of these young people

- **Response:**
Existing models should be identified and explored for efficacy /new models developed if necessary.

Problem: Many carers unable to provide young people with consistent sufficient care

- **Response:**
Further training for carers looking after young people with high levels of need before and after SA.
- **Response:**
Provision of accessible support for carers during placements.

Area of concern II:

Mental health services: meeting C & YPs needs?

Problem: Many young people did not receive sufficient and/or appropriate mental health support before, during or after secure accommodation

- **Response:**

An additional level of service that can overcome barriers to mental health service use (e.g. mental illness diagnosis, service engagement, living in secure environment).

- **Response:**

A service that ensures young people have access to services for behavioural and emotional problems as well as specific mental illnesses.

Problem: Despite social workers knowledge of the young person's history and needs they have little power in accessing or influencing mental health service involvement

- **Response:** A multi-agency co-commissioning approach to mental health service provision.

Area of Concern III:

Secure accommodation: a better experience?

Problem: Applying for secure order and SA is stressful for young people and social services

- **Response:**
A national drive to collate best practice & therefore best support young people and social workers.
- **Response:**
Best practice ensures young people made aware of applications, given opportunity to attend proceedings, and have their opinion sought and considered with the help of advocacy if desired or needed.
- **Response:**
Secure transport is better regulated, young people accompanied by trusted individual.

Problem: Austere SAs are disliked and seen as inappropriate by many young people placed on welfare grounds

- **Response:**
Collaborative work with young people to improve the look/feel of the SA units used.

Problem: Services (education, health services and therapy) provided in SA cease on release

- **Response:**
Revision to ensure to positive effects of services used in SA are sustained by provision of comparable afterwards.
- **Response:**
Development of a national integrated multi-agency co-commissioning approach to plan the transitions from SA.

Problem: placements far from home makes regular contact between young people, families and social workers difficult and expensive.

- **Response:**
Young people should be placed as close to home as possible.

Wider United Kingdom – stories of similar backgrounds

England:

- Development of a commissioning partnership stream (Part of NHS England 5 year plan for young people's mental health) to co-ordinate the planning , commissioning and intended outcomes of of health and justice, local authorities, third sector
- Work focused on the needs of groups of young people with complex needs including those into & out of SCHs settings
- Works with other streams : Community FCAMHS & Framework of Integrated Care (SECURE STAIRS).
- Secure stairs: Aim: integrated care for young people in custody using trauma-informed, evidence-based approaches. Anagram for a whole system approach in which all staff can access supervision and support; providing individually tailored care promoting understanding of young people's difficulties and how to best support them (Twitchett, 2018)

Scotland : Pathways and standards for secure care

- Improve the experiences and seek to provide better outcomes for young people; through a coherent set of expectations and standards across the continuum of intensive supports
- Ensure that children and young people are treated with respect and dignity, and that the human and children's rights they are all entitled to are upheld
- Complement the National Health and Social Care Standards and the legal requirements, ethos and principles set out in all the relevant existing statutory and practice guidance
- Set out what young people and their families should expect from professionals and Corporate Parents when a young person is being intensively supported in the community or in a secure care setting, following the journey Nolan, 2019

Northern Ireland

- A bespoke trauma-informed framework of integrated therapeutic care is currently in development
- The framework will be developed and implemented across looked after and adopted children's care services, including secure settings,
- The framework intends to creating greater alignment across welfare and juvenile justice provision

Common constructs

- Trauma –based
- Relationship based
- Holistic care
- Co-commissioned integrated care – health; social services; third sector; justice – before, during and after SCH
- Care based around the needs of the child

Any Questions?

References

- Gibson, R. 2020. ACEs, Places and Status: Results from the 2018 Scottish Secure Care Census. Centre for Youth and Criminal Justice. Accessed at <https://www.cycj.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/ACEs-Places-and-Status.pdf>
- Hart, D., & La Valle, I. (2016). Local Authority Use of Secure Placements. London: Government Social Research Retrieved from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/582375/Local-authority-use-of-secure-placements.pdf.
- Dept of Education and Skills (2006). Qualitative Study: The Use by Local Authorities of
- Secure Children’s Homes. J Held Company accessed at
- Webster, 2018. Everything you need to know about Secure Units accessed at <http://www.russellwebster.com/secure18/>
- .

References (2)

- DfE. (2019) Children Accommodated in secure children's homes 31 March 2019: England and Wales, accessed at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/861621/SCH_2019_Text.pdf
- Twitchett, C (2018),Vulnerable Children,NHS England. Accessed at [file:///C:/Users/ssoaw6/Downloads/LAC-Caroline-Twitchett%20\(2\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/ssoaw6/Downloads/LAC-Caroline-Twitchett%20(2).pdf)
- Nolan, D. 2019 Secure Care in Scotland: National Pathway and Standards Framework. Centre of Youth and Criminal Justice Accessed at <https://cycj.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Debbie-Nolan-secure-care-standards.pdf>